



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

						•
APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,583		09/08/2003	Thomas G. Cremers	,	6197US	9891
30173	7590	01/07/2005			EXAMINER	
GENERAL MILLS, INC. P.O. BOX 1113					HUYNH, LOUIS K	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					3721	
				DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Comment	10/657,583	CREMERS ET AL.						
Office Action Summary,	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Louis K. Huynh	3721						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Se	eptember 2003.							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.							
Application Papers								
_								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	PTO 412)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te						

5 pw

Application/Control Number: 10/657,583

Art Unit: 3721

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to an apparatus for folding an insert, classified in class 493, subclass 416.
  - II. Claims 5-10, drawn to an apparatus for placing an insert, classified in class 221, subclass 211.
  - III. Claims 11-14, drawn to a conveyor, classified in class 198, subclass 464.1.
  - IV. Claims 15-17, drawn to an apparatus for aligning a nested group of articles, classified in class 53, subclass 147.
  - V. Claims 18-22, drawn to a coupon, classified in class 283, subclass 51.
  - VI. Claims 23-27, drawn to a method for placing an insert, classified in class 221, subclass 001.
  - VII. Claims 28-31, drawn to a method of nesting articles, classified in class 53, subclass 443.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
  - a. Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as folding a sheet of paper, invention II has separate utility such as placing a coupon on to an article, invention III has separate utility such as conveying an group of articles,

Application/Control Number: 10/657,583

Art Unit: 3721

and invention IV has separate utility such as aligning a group of articles. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- b. Inventions (I-IV, VI & VII) and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects; for example, the invention of group V is a product that has printed matter for informing the consumer of the product to be consumed and the inventions of groups I-IV, VI & VII do not inform.
- c. Inventions (I, III & IV) and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects; for example, the invention of group VI is for placing a coupon onto an article, and the inventions of groups I, III & IV do not have such ability.
- d. Inventions (I, II & IV) and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects; for example, the invention of group VI is for

Art Unit: 3721

nesting a Group of articles, and the inventions of groups I, II & IV do not have such ability.

- e. Inventions VI and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as pivoting the vacuum cup from an insert pickup location to an insert drop-off location and release the insert without moving the vacuum cup horizontally.
- f. Inventions VII and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as moving the plurality of articles steadily at a single speed.
- Inventions VI and VII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together g. in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention VI has separate utility such as placing an insert onto an article, and invention VII has separate utility such as nesting individual articles. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/657,583

Art Unit: 3721

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search required for one Group might not be required for other Groups, and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis K. Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4462. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30AM to 5:00PM.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,583

Art Unit: 3721

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Louis K. Huynh

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6

Art Unit 3721

January 6, 2005